



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,194	05/30/2006	Jens Stougaard Jensen	83196-375528	5997
25764	7590	09/16/2010		
FAEGRE & BENSON LLP			EXAMINER	
PATENT DOCKETING - INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY			BUL PHUONG T	
2200 WELLS FARGO CENTER				
90 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3901			1638	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/16/2010	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

djohnson2@faegre.com  
rhalo@faegre.com  
PatentDocketing@Faegre.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/563,194	JENSEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Phuong T. Bui	<b>Art Unit</b> 1638	

**All Participants:**(1) Phuong T. Bui.**Status of Application:** rejected

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Denise Kettelberger.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10 September 2010**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  NoIf Yes, provide a brief description: *see attached*.**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*all*

Claims discussed:

*all*

Prior art documents discussed:

*prior art of record***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant explained why the number of nucleic acid sequences is greater than the number of amino acid sequences encoded by said nucleic acid sequences (see claims 59 and 67, and 60 and 68, for example). New claim 125 in the amendment of 8/30/10 would lack antecedence if entered.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Phuong T. Bui/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1638

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)